

|   |                        |  |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> |  | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 09/844,268             |  | BOSWORTH ET AL.     |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        |  | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.    |  | 1633                |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Alice O. Martin.

(2) Quang Nguyen.

**Date of Interview:** 17 November 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
2, 6 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Examiner contacted attorney Alice O. Martin on 11/16/06 to discuss claim amendments so that the application is in conditions for allowance. On 11/17/06, attorney Martin accepted amendments as they appear in the Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


**Status of Application:** After-Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** Afternoon

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)